A SUMMARY OF THE FAUNAL REMAINS FROM A BONE ASSEM-BLAGE FOUND AT SCREECH HOLE, HAM WOODS, NEAR CROSCOMBE, SOMERSET.

by

V.J. SIMMONDS

ABSTRACT

An assemblage containing human and faunal remains was recovered from the base of a scree slope in Ham Woods, Croscombe in 2016 by the activities of local cave diggers trying to locate sites of speleological, rather than archaeological, potential. However, the bone assemblage was not recovered by systematic or controlled excavation methods; therefore, no contextual or stratigraphical information is available regarding these remains.

The human bone assemblage recovered from the site has been analysed and a report published. The human remains are suggested to represent three articulated inhumation burials – an infant, a seven/eight-year old child and a mature adult. The fact that three individuals have been recovered suggests that this site might contain multiple human burials. A suitable bone sample was submitted for radiocarbon dating and the result gives an Early Iron Age date for the human remains (Simmonds and Brayne, 2019).

A summary of the faunal remains from the bone assemblage collected from Screech Hole in Ham Woods is presented here. The faunal assemblage contains both wild and domesticated species. Generally, the bone has fair to good preservation, although there is some damage, including that caused by post-depositional and extractive processes. The faunal assemblage, in conjunction with the human remains, gives an indication of the potential resource that might

be contained within the scree slope and/or possible cave site.

INTRODUCTION

Screech Hole (NGR ST 5965 4460) is located at the base of a scree slope in Ham Woods, Croscombe on the southern flank of the Mendip Hills, Somerset. The site attracted the attention of local cave diggers and during their digging activities an assemblage, containing human and animal remains was recovered. The assemblage was, subsequently handed over to the author and Robin Taviner (both, Mendip Cave Registry & Archive). It was advised that no further uncontrolled digging at the site should continue and the site has now been sealed to protect any remaining archaeological assets.

The underlying geology in Ham Woods comprises a succession of Carboniferous limestones, in the lower combe, the youngest, Oxwich Head Limestone Formation, then Clifton Down Limestone Formation, with the oldest, Burrington Oolite Subgroup in the upper section of Ham Woods. There are several faults that have affected the limestones. In the south-west, at Croscombe, the limestone is overlain by Dolomitic Conglomerate of Triassic age, to the north-west and south-east, the overlying stratum comprises Downside Stone of Triassic and Jurassic age.

An assemblage containing human and faunal remains was recovered from the base of a scree slope in Ham Woods, Croscombe by the activities of local cave diggers trying to locate sites of speleological, rather than archaeological, potential. However, the bone assemblage was not recovered by systematic or controlled excavation and, therefore, no contextual or stratigraphical information is available regarding these remains.



Figure 1. Screech Hole location plan. By courtesy of Robin Taviner. Contains OS data © Crown copyright and database rights 2018.

A BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE HUMAN REMAINS

There is a strong possibility that the human bone recovered from Screech Hole represent three articulated inhumation burials – an infant, a seven/eight-year-old child and a mature adult. The fact that three individuals may have been recovered suggests that this is a site which contained multiple human burials.

A suitable sample was selected to be submitted for radiocarbon dating. The radiocarbon age determination was carried out by Bristol Radiocarbon Accelerator Mass Spectrometer (BRAMS). The result in uncalibrated radiocarbon years 2533 ± 27 BP (BRAMS-1263.2.2), Early Iron Age period. The data given were corrected for isotopic fractionation using the ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ ratio measured on the AMS (Simmonds and Brayne, 2019).

THE ANIMAL BONE ASSEMBLAGE

The following section provides an inventory of the faunal element of the bone assemblage collected from Screech Hole in Ham Woods, Croscombe. Taxa have identified to the level of species, where possible. The tabulated data have been used to give the following quantitative variables; the number of taxon is deemed equivalent to number of species (N-taxa), and the number of identifiable specimens (NISP) for bones and teeth corresponds to the most basic categories of identifiable fragmented and/or fully disarticulated units in the assemblage. The components of articulated skeletal units are counted as separate entities for the purpose of this summary, regardless of whether they are found together or apart. For example, a section of mandible in which two teeth remain fixed is counted as three specimens (adapted from Stiner 1994). The values determined for the Screech Hole faunal assemblage are: Total Count, 171; N-taxa, 9; NISP, 147.

The faunal assemblage contains both wild and domesticated species and these, with details of the anatomical elements present, are given in Table 1 below.

| No. | Genus/species | Anatomical element | Wt. (g) | Common name/notes | |
|----------------|---------------|--|---------|---|--|
| MAMMAL | | | | | |
| 1 | Bos taurus | atlas | 144.8 | domestic cattle, refits epistropheus (axis) | |
| 2 | | epistropheus (axis) | 147.7 | domestic cattle; epiphysis: caudal partially fused, refits atlas | |
| 3 | | vertebra, cervical | 114.0 | domestic cattle; epiphyses: cranial fused, caudal partially fused | |
| 4 | | vertebra, cervical | 111.7 | domestic cattle; epiphyses: cranial fused, caudal partially fused | |
| 5 | | vertebra, cervical | 125.2 | domestic cattle; epiphyses: cranial partially fused, caudal unfused | |
| 6 | | vertebra, cervical | 110.0 | domestic cattle; epiphyses: cranial partially fused, caudal partially fused | |
| 7 | | vertebra, lumbar | 58.9 | domestic cattle; epiphyses: cranial and caudal fused | |
| 8 | | soomum (2) frogmonts | 44.4 | | |
| 9 | | sacrum (?) fragments | 21.7 | domostio anttla | |
| 10 | | and the for an entry | 50.0 | domestic cattle | |
| 11 | | vertebra, fragments | 35.3 | | |
| 12 | | femur(?)/limb bone fragments | 209.4 | domestic cattle; 3no. pieces, all refit | |
| 13 | | epiphysis, both vertebra | 5.5 | domostic acttle | |
| 14 | | caudal fragments | 3.6 | domestic cattle | |
| 15 | unidentified | limb bone fragment | 38.5 | cattle-sized fragment | |
| 16 | Ovis ares | femur | 30.0 | | |
| 17 | | scapula | 11.5 | | |
| 18 | | pelvic girdle | 15.4 | sheep | |
| 19 | | pelvic girdle | 33.0 | | |
| 20 | | tooth, M ₄ | 5.7 | | |
| 21 to 25 | | mandible with teeth (P1, P_2 , P_3 , M_1 erupting) | 11.7 | sheep, juvenile NISP=5 | |
| 26 | | metapodial, distal fragment | 5.4 | sheep | |
| 27 | | tibia | 14.9 | sheep, juvenile | |
| 28 | | metatarsal | 17.5 | sheep, some mineralisation | |
| 29 | | radius | 5.9 | sheep, juvenile, proximal/unfused, distal/partially fused | |
| 30 | | metatarsal, proximal end/shaft fragment | 16.2 | sheep, some mineralisation on surface | |

Table 1. The Screech Hole faunal bone assemblage.

| No. | Genus/species | Anatomical element | Wt. (g) | Common name/notes |
|-------------|------------------|---|---------|-------------------------------|
| 31 | | vertebra 7no. | 10.0 | sheep |
| 32 | | | 6.4 | |
| 33 | | | 5.6 | |
| 34 | | | 8.3 | |
| 35 | | | 2.0 | |
| 36 | | | 2.3 | |
| 37 | | | 2.6 | |
| 38 | | metacarpal, proximal | 5.5 | |
| 39 | | end/shaft fragments | 5.8 | |
| 40 | | tibia | 31.4 | |
| 41 | | scapula | 5.1 | sheep, juvenile |
| 42 | | scapula fragment | 7.7 | sheen |
| 43 | | 1 8 | 4.1 | sheep |
| 44 | | mandible fragment | 5.8 | |
| 45 | | | 4.3 | |
| 46 to | | maxillary fragment with | 5.2 | |
| 49 | | teeth (P_3 , M_1 , M_2) | 5.2 | NISP=4 |
| 50 | | humerus shaft fragment | 14.0 | |
| 51 | Ovis/Capra | Horn core fragment | 2.7 | sheep/goat |
| 52 | | metatarsal, proximal | 2.1 | sheep/goat, unfused |
| | | fragment | | |
| 53 | Felis catus | scapula | 5.0 | cat |
| 54 | | fibula fragment | 0.6 | |
| 55 | | ulna | 4.4 | |
| 56 | Canis familiaris | C1, atlas | 8.0 | dog |
| 57 | | C2, axis (epistropheus) | 9.4 | refitting anatomical elements |
| 58 | | C3, vertebra | 7.6 | |
| 59 | | C4, vertebra | 7.2 | |
| 60 | | atlas | 6.3 | dog |
| 61 | | ulna, left and right, | 7.0 | dog, probable matching pair |
| 62 | | proximal fragments | 5.4 | |
| 63 | | scapula, left | 6.9 | dog |
| 64 | | tibia | 8.1 | |
| 65 | | radius, left | 4.0 | |
| 66 | | tibia | 10.0 | |
| 67 | | mandible fragment | 8.3 | |
| 68 to | | mandible with M ₃ , M ₂ | 27.5 | |
| 70 | | | | NISP=3 |
| 71 to 74 | | mandible with P_2 . P_3 , P_4 | 17.0 | NISP=4 |
| 75 | | vertebra 8no. | 5.2 | |
| 76 | | | 2.9 | |
| 77 | | | 5.2 | |
| 78 | | | 4.8 | |
| 79 | | | 5.5 | |
| | 1 | | | , |

| No. | Genus/species | Anatomical element | Wt. (g) | Common name/notes |
|---------------|---------------|---|---------|--|
| 80 | | | 2.6 | |
| 81 | | | 3.9 | |
| 82 | | | 4.9 | |
| 83 | | metapodials, 8no. | 1.4 | |
| 84 | | | 1.4 | |
| 85 | | | 1.1 | |
| 86 | | | 1.8 | |
| 87 | | | 1.2 | |
| 88 | | | 1.3 | |
| 89 | | | 1.2 | |
| 90 | | | 1.8 | |
| 91 | | skull fragment | 10.7 | |
| 92 | | humerus | 20.4 | |
| 93 to | Oryctolagus | skull with teeth (left: I1, | 7.6 | rabbit |
| 104 | cuniculus | I ₂ , P ₃ , M ₁ , M ₂ , M ₃ ; right: | | |
| | | I_1, P_2, P_3, M_1, M_2 | | NISP=12 |
| 105 | | pelvic girdle | 2.3 | |
| 106 | | os innominatum | 1.7 | |
| 107 | | femur, right | 2.9 | |
| 108 | | femur, right | 3.6 | |
| 109 | | tibia/fibula | 3.4 | |
| 110 | | tibia/partial fibula | 2.9 | |
| 111 | | ulna | 0.9 | |
| 112 | Capreolus | metatarsal | 8.6 | roe deer |
| 112 | capreolus | proximal/shaft fragment | 17.1 | |
| 113 | | tibia distal fragment | 1/.1 | |
| 114 | .1 | sacrum | 16.4 | |
| 115 to 118 | unidentified | horn core fragments | 9.5 | total weight of 4no. horn core fragments |
| 119 | | mandible fragment | 3.2 | |
| 120 | | mandible fragment | 3.0 | possibly sheep (Ovis), articulation |
| | | | | process inc. condyle |
| 121 | | vertebra cervical | 1.9 | |
| 122 | | vertebra thoracic | 0.7 | |
| 123 | | vertebra lumbar | 2.5 | |
| 124 to | | rib bones | 24.7 | total weight of 18no. rib bones inc. |
| 141 | | | | some fragmentary elements; small |
| | | DIDI | | mammal |
| 142 | Dhasianus | biki tibio targua | 52 | nhoosont |
| 143 | colchicus | femur | 2.0 | pheasant |
| 144 | | ulna | 1.5 | |
| 145 | | coracoid | 1.5 | |
| 146 | Gallus gallus | humerus | 1.1 | (large) domestic fowl |
| 140 | Anatidae | ulna | 3.5 | goose |
| 11/ | | w1110 | 5.5 | 50000 |

| No. | Genus/species | Anatomical element | Wt. (g) | Common name/notes | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| OTHER | | | | | | |
| 148 to 150 | Unidentified avian | bird bone fragments | 3.6 | Total weight of 3no. bird bone fragments not included in NISP | | |
| 151 to 164 | unidentified | unidentifiable fragments | 32.9 | total weight of 14no. unidentifiable bone fragments; not included in NISP | | |
| 165 | | limb bone shaft fragment | 6.9 | small mammal | | |
| 166 | | shaft fragment | 3.3 | | | |
| 167 168 | | skull (?) fragments 2no. | 10.8 | total weight of 2no. skull fragments | | |
| 169 | | rib (?) fragment | 4.9 | | | |
| 170 | | rib (?) fragments 2no. | 0.9 | | | |
| 171 | | | | | | |
| Total Count = 171 Number of taxon equivalent to number of species, N -taxa = 9 Number of hone/tooth specimens indentifiable to taxon and/or anatomival level NISP = 147 | | | | | | |
| Number of bone/tooth specimens indentifiable to taxon and/or anatomival level, $NISP = 147$ | | | | | | |

Several snail species were identified from the residue recovered from washing sieves, these include *Clausillia bidentata*, *Discus rotundatus* and *Pupilla muscorum*.

COMMENTS

The Screech Hole faunal assemblage was recovered from the base of a scree slope by the activities of local cave diggers trying to locate sites of speleological, rather than archaeological potential and lacks contextual information as well as any stratigraphic associations, therefore one might only speculate as to how the assemblage might have formed. However the faunal remains, in conjunction with the human remains (previously reported in Simmonds and Brayne, 2019), give an indication of the potential resource that might be contained within the scree slope and/or possible cave site.

Generally, the bone has fair to good preservation, although there is some damage to a large proportion of the assemblage, much of it caused by post-depositional and extraction processes.

It is intended that the Screech Hole faunal assemblage be curated at Wells and Mendip Museum, with the human remains that have already been deposited there (Museum Accession No. 2019.56).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank, Professor Alan Outram and Steph Vinnels (*née* Ralph), Laboratory Manager at the University of Exeter for arranging and allowing access to the comparative reference bone collection.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Baker, P. and Worley, F. 2019. *Animal Bones and Archaeology: Recovery to archive.* Historic England Handbooks for Archaeology. Historic England.
- Cohen, A. and Serjeantson, D. 1996. *A manual for the identification of Bird Bones from Archaeological Sites.* Revised Edition. Archetype Publications Ltd., London. Reprinted 2015.
- Hillson, S. 1992. Mammal Bones and Teeth: An Introductory Guide to Methods of Identification. University College London Institute of Archaeology. Reprinted 2005.
- Simmonds, V.J. and Brayne, K. 2019. A Summary Report on the Human Remains from a Bone Assemblage found at Screech Hole, Ham Woods, Near Croscombe, Somerset. Proceedings of the University of Bristol Spelaeological Society. 28. 1. 129-136.
- Stiner, M.C. 1994. Honor Among Thieves: A Zooarchaeological Study of Neandertal Ecology. Princeton University Press, New Jersey.

V.J. Simmonds vince@mendipgeoarch.net